THE TRANSITION METAL COMPLEX INDUCED REARRANGEMENT OF BICYCLO[2.1.0]PENTANE TO CYCLOPENTENE

Paul G. Gassman, Thomas J. Atkins,^{*} and J. Trevor Lumb Department of Chemistry, The Ohio State University, Columbus, Ohio 43210

(Received in USA 1 March 1971; received in UK for publication 5 April 1971) Cyclobutane to diolefin transformations promoted by transition-metal compounds, such as the isomerization of quadricyclanes to norbornadienes¹⁻³ and of cube type molecules to ring cleaved products,^{4,5} have recently attracted considerable attention. Lately, we⁶ and others⁷ have explored the related isomerizations of derivatives of bicyclo[1.1.0]butane, such as that exemplified by the stereospecific conversion of 1 to 2 on addition of rhodium dicarbonyl chloride dimer or dichlorotricarbonylruthenium dimer.⁶ We now wish to report



the first example of the transition-metal promoted isomerization of a simple bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane.

Bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane (3) possesses surprising thermal stability. It can be prepared pyrolytically from 2,3-diazabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2-ene $(\frac{1}{2})$ at 200° with no detectable thermal isomerization. Criegee reported that 3 was isomerized to cyclopentene (5) in the vicinity of 330°. We have now found that bicyclo[2.1.0]pentane is extremely labile in

National Science Foundation Trainee, 1968-1971



the presence of rhodium dicarbonyl chloride dimer.¹⁰ When $\underline{3}$ was treated with 5 mol % of rhodium dicarbonyl chloride dimer in either acetonitrile or chloroform at 25° (room temperature), isomerization to 5 occurred with a half-life of <u>ca.</u> 8 hr. At 65° , no trace of 3 could be detected after 48 hr in the presence of 2 mol % of transition metal compound. Vpc analysis indicated that the isomerization of $\underline{3}$ to $\underline{5}$ was quantitative.

In order to study some of the mechanistic aspects of this facile rearrangement we desired certain isotopically labelled versions of $\underline{3}$. Synthesis of $\underline{6}$ according to literature procedures ¹¹ followed by pyrolysis at 200° gave a 2.9:1 mixture of $\underline{7}$ and $\underline{8}$. ¹² Ir-



radiation of $\underline{6}$ in an <u>n</u>-butanol glass at <u>ca.</u> -70° gave a 1.3:1 mixture of $\underline{7}$ and $\underline{8}$.¹² Synthesis of 5,5-dideuteriobicyclo[2.1.0]pentane (9) was accomplished through the reaction of



cyclobutene (10) with 11.¹³ This procedure gave material which was 84% dideuterated.

When we subjected either the 2.9:1 mixture of χ and ϑ , the 1.3:1 mixture of χ and ϑ , or ϑ to rhodium dicarbonyl chloride dimer, we found that the same mixture of deuterated cyclopentenes was found. For instance, it was shown by nmr spectroscopy that both mixtures, and ϑ , gave cyclopentene in which the ratio of vinylic hydrogens to hydrogens on saturated carbon was 1:3.21 $\frac{1}{2}$ 0.09. A purely random distribution of the two deuteriums would have given a ratio of 1:3, assuming no deuterium isotope effect on the ratio. It was demonstrated that the observed ratio was not due to rearrangement followed by scrambling of the free deuterated cyclopentenes, through the subjection of 1-deuteriocyclopentene (12)¹² to the reaction conditions. After four half-lives, no isomerization of 1.2 could be detected. This indicated that the deuterium scrambling must have occurred at an intermediate stage, when the transition metal complex was associated with the C₅H₈ moiety, and before the cyclopentene was freed from the transition metal complex.

Our studies indicate that ring systems with considerably less strain energy than quadricyclanes, cubanes, and bicyclo[1.1.0]butanes are susceptible to rearrangements promoted by transition metal complexes. Furthermore, our isotope studies indicate that extensive rearrangement may rapidly follow the initial cleavage of the strained ring system.

Acknowledgment. We are indebted to the National Science Foundation and to the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for support of this investigation.

REFERENCES

- H. Hogeveen and H. C. Volger, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>89</u>, 2486 (1967); F. D. Mango and J. H. Schachtschneider, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>89</u>, 2484 (1967); F. D. Mango, <u>Advan. Catal.</u>, <u>19</u>, 291 (1969), and references contained therein.
- P. G. Gassman and D. S. Patton, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., <u>90</u>, 7276 (1968); P. G. Gassman, D. H. Aue, and D. S. Patton, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>90</u>, 7271 (1968).
- 3. L. Cassar and J. Halpern, Chem. Comm., 1970, 1082, and references contained therein.
- 4. L. Cassar, P. E. Eaton, and J. Halpern, <u>J. Amer. Chem. Soc.</u>, <u>92</u>, 6366 (1970), and references contained therein.
- 5. J. Wristers, L. Brener, and R. Pettit, <u>ibid.</u>, <u>92</u>, 7499 (1970), and references contained therein.
- 6. P. G. Gassman and F. J. Williams, *ibid.*, 92, 7631 (1970).

- 7. L. A. Paquette, G. R. Allen, Jr., and R. P. Henzel, ibid., 92, 7002 (1970).
- 8. R. Criegee and A. Rimmelin, Chem. Ber., 90, 414 (1954).
- 9. P. G. Gassman and K. T. Mansfield, Org. Syn., 49, 1 (1969).
- This work was presented in part before the 13th Conference on Reaction Mechanisms, Santa Cruz, Calif., June 23-26, 1970.
- 11. W. Roth and M. Martin, Ann., 702, 1 (1967).
- 12. The details of the preparation of this material will be presented in the full paper on this subject.
- The procedure used was a modification of that of G. Wittig and F. Wingler, <u>Chem. Ber.</u>, 97, 2146 (1964), described for the preparation of 3.